

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,153	10/623,153 07/21/2003		Jens C. Thies	021028-0305107	5213
43569	7590	06/19/2006		EXAMINER	
MAYER, E 1909 K STR	•	ROWE & MAW I	HAMILTON	, CYNTHIA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1752	

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			سرا
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,153	THIES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Hamilton	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u>April 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	-		its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-18,20-24 and 27</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14, 17-18, 20-24, 27</u> is/are allowed	d.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)□ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applic Pority documents have been rece	ation No	e
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ived.	
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	on: (DTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mai		ı

Application/Control Number: 10/623,153 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamura et al (WO 00/63272 A1). The compositions set forth in claim 3 of Yamamura et al wherein the choice of glycidyl group compound is made as always a glycidyl ether compound and is a selection such as that set forth in the table on page 44 make obvious the instant compositions in view of the components of claim 3 of Yamamura because of the overlap of percentages for the specific compounds and the disclosure of a composition with ranges within except for instant component A the claimed invention. The closeness of 30% to 29% in Example 8 of Yamamura et al makes obvious such a close variance of from 30% to 29%. Claim 16 is compared to claim 3 of Yamamura in the table below:

Instant claim 16	Yamamura et al claim 3	Instant claim 16 Overlap if	
		Yamamura wants 100 parts	
		total as found in table on page	
		44	
1-29wt% oxetane compound	(A) 5-80 parts by wt oxetane	1-29 wt%	
	compound		
10-85wt% glycidyl ether	(B) 5-80 parts by wt of	10-80 wt% and all examples	
	glycidyl group epoxy	of glycidyl given in Table on	
	compound (one choice of two)	page 44 are glycidyl ether	
		compounds	
1-25wt% multifunctional	0-45 parts by wt of	1-25wt% of ethylenically	
acrylate compound	ethylenically unsaturated	unsaturated compound used	
	monomer	with only example on page 44	
		being multifunctional acrylate	
		compound	
Radical photoinitiator present	0-10 parts by wt of radical	When present	

Application/Control Number: 10/623,153 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

	photopolymerization initiator	
Cationic photoinitiator present	0.1 to 10 parts by weight of	Total overlap
	photoacid generator	

In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re Werthheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 UAPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See particularly MPEP 2144.05. The instant invention of claim 16 in no manner limits the amount of ester groups in cationic compounds present, thus there is no limit to the presence of such as found in Yamamura et al. Applicants have presented no arguments with respect to claim 16. This rejection stands with the added clarification made of record to allow applicants to determine more readily what action to take if they decide not to cancel claim 16.

- 2. Claims 1-14, 17, 18, 20-24 and 27 are allowed. Applicants' amendment to claim 1 removes the issue of Yamamura et al and example 8. Applicant's amendment to claim 14 clarifying the issue of "ster" makes clear that 30 parts of 3,4-epoxycyclohexylmethyl-3',4'-epoxycyclohexanecarboxylate as used by Yamamura in their Example 8 is much higher than the limit of 25.33 wt% the same when considering the as 100 meq of ester linkages /100 grams of the instant composition is much lower. With respect to claims 17-18, the examiner notes that she did not fully appreciate that A was 0-25 wt % and not 0-29% as found in claim 1 before amendment.
- 3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,153

Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (571) 272-0729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,153 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

June 9, 2006

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cynthia Hamilton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

1 A / In Afallach

CYNTHIA HARRILTUN